## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

In re:	)	P.Q. Docket No. 04-0004
	)	
Ricardo Lopez,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	Amended Default
	)	Decision and Order

This is an administrative proceeding for the assessment of a civil penalty for a violation of the Plant Protection Act of June 20, 2000, as amended (7 U.S.C. §§ 7701 et seq.)(the Act), in accordance with the Rules of Practice in 7 C.F.R. §§ 1.130 et seq. and 380.1 et seq.

This proceeding was instituted under the Act by a complaint filed on March 1, 2004, by the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, United States

Department of Agriculture and served by certified mail on respondent Ricardo Lopez on March 5, 2004. Pursuant to section 1.136 of the Rules of Practice (7 C.F.R. § 1.136), respondent

Ricardo Lopez was informed in the complaint and the letter accompanying the complaint that an answer should be filed with the Hearing Clerk within twenty (20) days after service of the complaint, and that failure to file an answer within twenty (20) days after service of the complaint constitutes an admission of the allegations in the complaint and waiver of a hearing.

Respondent Ricardo Lopez's answer thus was due no later than March 25, 2004, twenty days after service of the complaint (7 C.F.R. § 136(a)). Respondent Ricardo Lopez never filed an answer to the complaint and the Hearing Clerk's Office mailed him a No Answer Letter on April 1, 2004.

Therefore, respondent Ricardo Lopez failed to file an answer within the time prescribed in 7 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) and failed to deny or otherwise respond to an allegation of the complaint. Section 1.136(c) of the Rules of Practice (7 C.F.R. § 1.136(c)) provides that the failure to file an answer within the time provided under 7 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) or to deny or otherwise respond to an allegation of the complaint shall be deemed an admission of the allegations in the complaint. Furthermore, since the admission of the allegations in the complaint constitutes a waiver of hearing (7 C.F.R. § 1.139) and respondent Ricardo Lopez's failure to file an answer is deemed such an admission pursuant to the Rules of Practice, respondent's failure to answer is likewise deemed a waiver of hearing. Accordingly, the material allegations in the complaint are adopted and set forth in this Default Decision as the Findings of Fact, and this amended Decision is issued pursuant to section 1.139 of the Rules of Practice applicable to this proceeding (7 C.F.R. § 1.139).

## Findings of Fact

- Respondent Ricardo Lopez is an individual with a mailing address of 511 Shiloh
   Drive, Apt. 6, Laredo, Texas 78043.
- 2. On or about April 5, 2001, Respondent Ricardo Lopez forged the signature of a USDA Plant Protection and Quarantine officer onto a federal phytosanitary certificate and presented the forged certificate to agricultural officials of the Government of Mexico, in violation of sections 424(b)(1) and 424(c) of the PPA (7 U.S.C. § 7734(b)(1) and 7734(c)).

## Conclusion

By reason of the Findings of Fact set forth above, respondent Ricardo Lopez has violated the Act. Therefore, the following amended Order is issued.

## <u>Order</u>

Respondent Ricardo Lopez is hereby assessed a civil penalty of one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00). This penalty shall be payable to the "Treasurer of the United States" by certified check or money order, and shall be forwarded within thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Order to:

United States Department of Agriculture APHIS Field Servicing Office Accounting Section P.O. Box 3334 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55403

Respondent Ricardo Lopez shall indicate that payment is in reference to P.Q. Docket No. 04-0004.

This amended order shall have the same force and effect as if entered after a full hearing and shall be final and effective thirty five (35) days after service of this amended Default Decision and Order upon respondent Ricardo Lopez unless there is an appeal to the Judicial Officer pursuant to section 1.145 of the Rules of Practice applicable to this proceeding (7 C.F.R. § 1.145).

Done at Washington, D.C. this 30<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2004.

/s/ Marc R. Hillson Chief Administrative Law Judge